

# Subject Intelligence Dossier

---

SAMPLE OUTPUT: identifying details replaced. Real deliverables name the actual subject and derive every finding from primary public records.

---

## Subject A

Former state legislator; licensed healthcare professional; non-candidate  
public figure at time of dossier

Prepared April 23, 2026

---

CONFIDENTIAL -- PREPARED FOR SAMPLE CLIENT

Engagement SAMPLE-SD-2026

---

## About this sample

---

This is a RegionPulse sample deliverable. The Subject Intelligence Dossier is the **non-campaign framing** of the same production pipeline that produces our Conflict Dossier, designed for journalists, accountability researchers, legal teams, coalitions, and watchdog organizations engaging with sitting officeholders, lobbyists, former officials, and organizations rather than active candidates.

The underlying subject is a real former Michigan state legislator researched through our standard pipeline. **Identifying details (name, specific home city, specific district number, specific committee identifiers) have been replaced with generic labels. All data-source chains, citation formats, analytical structure, aggregate dollar figures, contribution counts, and visual presentation are identical to our production deliverables.**

Sources are retrievable as of the date above. Your commissioned deliverable will have the same structure; the content will vary based on what the actual records show for your subject.

---

## Executive Summary

---

Subject A is a licensed Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine who served two consecutive terms in the Michigan State House during the mid-2010s, ran an unsuccessful primary bid for State Senate, and has since resumed private medical practice. This dossier compiles public-record findings relevant to:

- Assessing the subject's political-financial network during and after office
- Mapping professional and civic affiliations
- Documenting the subject's positions and voting record during legislative service
- Evaluating post-legislative activity (board service, consulting, continued political engagement)

### Three patterns of note:

1. **Healthcare-industry financial ecosystem.** 26.9% of the subject's external campaign receipts during legislative service came from PACs representing the subject's own professional industry.
2. **Lansing-area institutional donor base.** 42% of external contributions originated from Capitol-area ZIPs, indicating heavy lobbyist/trade-association engagement.
3. **No adverse findings in court, regulatory, or criminal records.** State-court, federal-court, MDOC, PSOR, medical-licensing-board, and federal-regulator (OIG, NPI sanctions) searches returned no findings against the subject.

This dossier is structured for use by journalists researching an article, watchdog orgs preparing advocacy materials, attorneys building factual background for filings, or coalitions considering engagement with the subject.

---

## Part 1: Identity and Professional Profile

---

FIELD	VALUE
Name	Subject A (replaced)
Profession	Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine (D.O.)
Professional license status	Active, Michigan
License vintage	Pre-2010 (specific date replaced)
Primary practice location	Rural eastern Michigan
Specialty	Family medicine (as recorded on NPI registry)
Board certifications	Verified; specific certifications replaced
Hospital affiliations (public record)	Two regional healthcare systems, verified via NPI + hospital directories
Past legislative tenure	Two consecutive terms, State House (mid-2010s); candidate for State Senate (one cycle, lost primary)
Current political role	None currently in public record

---

## Part 2: Campaign-Finance Network (during legislative service)

---

The subject's candidate committee operated 2012 to 2019. Aggregate fundraising:

- **Total raised:** \$364,097 across 893 contributions and 402 unique contributors
- **External (non-self-funded):** \$297,047 (81.6%)
- **Self-funded (candidate loans and direct contributions):** \$67,050 (18.4%)
- **Largest institutional donor:** State professional association for osteopathic medicine, \$29,793 across 17 contributions
- **Healthcare-industry aggregate:** \$80,043 (26.9% of external receipts) across 22 distinct PACs
- **Capitol-area ZIP concentration:** 42.4% of external contributions

Full donor-network analysis, industry-cluster breakdown, and geographic distribution are in the Donor Network Analysis companion sample. This dossier focuses on subject-level findings; the companion file treats the fundraising network as the primary subject of analysis.

---

## Part 3: Legislative Record

---

### Committee assignments

Specific committee names replaced; the subject served on committees with direct oversight of:

- Health-policy regulation
- Professional-licensure rules
- State Medicaid reimbursement policy
- Scope-of-practice for allied health professionals

### Voting-record themes (based on OpenStates / legislative website scan)

- Healthcare: voted with physician-industry position on 14 of 15 identified scope-of-practice bills
- Insurance: voted with health-insurance-industry position on 8 of 9 identified insurance-mandate bills
- Opioid/Rx: voted with physician-industry position on 6 of 7 identified prescription-monitoring bills
- Non-healthcare: more variable party-line voting on non-healthcare legislation

**Consistency note.** The subject's voting pattern on healthcare-industry-adjacent legislation is not remarkable in itself for a physician-legislator; doctors voting the industry position is often defended as informed-professional expertise. The pattern becomes analytically material in combination with the donor-base industry concentration (Part 2): the subject received industry money and voted the industry position on substantially all tracked bills.

### Notable bills where subject was primary sponsor

Public record shows the subject as primary sponsor on ~14 introduced bills across two terms. Subject areas: medical-practice regulation, health-insurance reform, rural-hospital funding, opioid-prescription tracking. Bill passage rate: 3 enacted, 11 died in committee or on the floor.

---

## Part 4: Post-Legislative Activity

---

### Professional

- Returned to private medical practice at the same clinic pre-legislative tenure
- Hospital affiliations continuous through legislative service (no evidence of displacement)
- Current medical license: active, no disciplinary actions in the state licensing board database

### Civic / political

- No current public-facing political role identified
- No PAC officer roles post-legislative (LARA corporate-officer search returned no matches)
- No lobbyist registration under the Michigan Lobbyist Registration Act (2018 to present)

- No federal lobbyist registration (Senate LDA search; name-matches found are unrelated individuals, verified by address/occupation)

### Real estate

- 13 deed records identified in county register-of-deeds search for the subject's home county
  - Records are consistent with normal family residential activity (primary residence, adjacent parcels, one small commercial property)
  - No unusual high-value transactions or suspicious timing patterns
- 

## Part 5: Regulatory and Legal Record

---

**No adverse findings.** The subject's record was searched across:

- **Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA):** professional license in good standing, no disciplinary actions
  - **Michigan Department of Corrections / Offender Tracking (MDOC OTIS):** no records
  - **Michigan Public Sex Offender Registry:** no records
  - **Michigan Court of Appeals, Michigan Supreme Court, state circuit courts:** 12 name-matches identified; all verified as unrelated individuals (different middle initial, different city, different age)
  - **CourtListener / PACER (federal courts):** name-matches identified; verified as unrelated individuals after manual review
  - **HHS OIG exclusions list:** no records
  - **Medicare NPI sanctions:** no records
  - **SAM.gov Exclusions List:** no records
- 

## Part 6: Media Coverage (2010 to present)

---

10 substantive news articles identified across the scan period. Coverage themes:

- 2014 general-election profile (local paper endorsement)
- 2016 profile on healthcare-policy positions (state-level publication)
- 2018 Senate-primary coverage (state and regional outlets)
- 2 opinion pieces (one op-ed authored by the subject on rural healthcare access)
- 2 post-legislative interviews discussing medical practice

**No negative coverage identified.** No retractions, corrections, or credibility-challenging coverage in the scan period.

---

## Part 7: Recommended use cases

---

This dossier is structured for several reader types:

### For journalists

The industry-capture pattern (Part 2 + Part 3) is the reportable finding. Treated independently: expected for a physician-legislator. Treated together: a case study in how informed-professional legislators can become durable industry-aligned voices inside legislatures. A feature or accountability piece could fairly surface the pattern without adverse reframing.

### For watchdog organizations

The subject is not currently in public office. Active political-accountability work would need a current subject. This dossier is a useful reference for understanding how one state-level industry-legislator alliance operated: a case study rather than an active target.

### For legal/ethics teams

No adverse findings in court, regulatory, or criminal records. No ethics filing is supported by the record as it stands.

### For coalitions

The subject's post-legislative quietude (no current political role, no lobbyist registration) means coalitions engaging on healthcare policy would not typically encounter this subject actively. Useful context for understanding the state-level physician-legislator cohort of the 2010s.

---

## Data sources

---

Same source set as the companion Conflict Dossier sample. Summary:

- **Michigan Bureau of Elections / MiTN:** candidate committee filings
- **LARA:** corporate filings, professional licensure
- **Michigan Licensee Lookup (VAL):** medical license verification
- **NPI Registry (CMS):** healthcare provider lookup
- **County Register of Deeds:** property-ownership records
- **BS&A Property Records:** county-level property database
- **MDOC OTIS, MI PSOR:** criminal / sex-offender registry searches
- **MiCOURT, CourtListener (PACER):** state and federal court records
- **OpenStates:** legislative bills and voting records
- **Michigan News Archive** (consolidated AP, MLive, Bridge Michigan, regional papers): 10-year news scan
- **Senate LDA, Michigan Lobbyist Registration Act filings:** lobbyist registration checks

- **HHS OIG, Medicare NPI sanctions, SAM.gov Exclusions:** federal regulatory sanctions
- **USAspending, PPP loans:** federal spending / relief records

Full-fidelity source URLs and row-level citations are available in the production deliverable.

---

## Methodology notes

---

1. **Name-match disambiguation.** Common surnames produce false-positive matches in court and regulatory databases. All matches are manually verified against address, middle initial, date of birth, and occupation before inclusion in the dossier. This sample reflects verified-relevant matches only.
  2. **Source freshness.** All sources queried on the date in the header. Legislative-bill records are archival; the voting record is stable. Medical-license status should be re-verified at delivery time if the dossier is used for time-sensitive decisions.
  3. **Scope boundary.** This dossier does NOT evaluate the subject's medical practice quality, patient outcomes, clinical judgment, or any matter of personal conduct not in public record. The scope is limited to public-record political, financial, professional, and regulatory information.
- 

Prepared by RegionPulse · regionpulse.com · 2026-04-23. Sample deliverable, redistribution welcome with attribution.